Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTERDIGITAL, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>15-cv-01716-BLF</u>

ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANTS' REPLY BRIEF

[Re: ECF 335]

The excessive use of footnotes in Defendants' Reply brief (ECF 335) causes the brief to exceed the 15-page limit. Thus, the brief (and associated sealing motion at ECF 334) is stricken. Defendants may re-file a conforming brief wherein all footnotes are 12-point type and double spaced or deleted entirely. See Standing Order for Civil Cases. Should Defendants choose to refile the brief and sealing motion, Defendants shall do so no later than January 10, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 20, 2018

United States District Judge